UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/544,158 | 10/14/2005 Michel Kubacki | | 052546-0480 | 8425 |
| 23524 FOLEY & LAR | 7590 09/30/200 RDNER LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 150 EAST GIL | MAN STREET | MAUST, TIMOTHY LEWIS | | |
| P.O. BOX 1497 MADISON, WI | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3751 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/30/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Δ | Application N | n No. Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | | 10/544,158 | | KUBACKI, MICHEL | | | | |
| | | E | xaminer | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Т | imothy L. Ma | ust | 3751 | | | | |
| The MAIL Period for Reply | ING DATE of this commun | nication appea | rs on the cov | er sheet with the d | correspondence ac | ddress | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time rr after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b | STATUTORY PERIOD F IS LONGER, FROM THE IN The average and the available under the provisions and the mailing date of this common in the section of the maximum of the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, can | E OF THIS (a). In no event, he apply and will expine the application | COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be ting re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsiv | ve to communication(s) file | ed on 14 Octo | her 2005 | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action | • • | 2b)∏ This ac | | inal | | | | | |
| ′ = | | <i>,</i> — | | | secution as to the | e merite is | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Closed III e | lecordance with the pract | ice dilaci Zx ; | barre Quayre | , 1000 O.D. 11, 40 | 00.0.210. | | | | |
| Disposition of Clair | ms | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) <u></u> Claim(s) _ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)□ Claim(s) _ | is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| | is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> | <u>-25</u> are subject to restricti | ion and/or ele | ction require | ment. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | | | | | | | |
| 9)□ The specifi | cation is objected to by th | ne Examiner | | | | | | | |
| | • | | ted or b)□ c | biected to by the l | Examiner. | | | | |
| • | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | - | | FR 1 121(d) | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| · | • | o by the Exam | 111101.14010 1 | ic attached Office | Action of form 1 | 10 102. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U | .S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsper | res Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (F sure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Date | PTO-948) | 4) [5) [6) [| Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal F Other: | ate | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of preparing samples for analysis, classified in class 141, subclass 9.
- II. Claims 17-25, drawn to a rack for preparing samples, classified in class141, subclass 234.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions (I) and (II) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one that doesn't require injecting at least one liquid in individual columns of a movable plate by means of at least one movable injection needle.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

Application/Control Number: 10/544,158 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L. Maust whose telephone number is (571) 272-4891. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thur. 7:00-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4883. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,158 Page 5

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy L Maust/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

/TLM/